

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

4

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/511,029	10/11/2004	Emmett M. Partain III	62282A	8619
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION, P. O. BOX 1967			EXAMINER	
			MARCANTONI, PAUL D	
MIDLAND, M	11 48641-1967		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		T 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	[ A 10 1/2 ]				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/511,029	PARTAIN III ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul Marcantoni	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXDIDE 2 MONTH	S) OP THIRTY (30) DAVS				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 September 2007</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 20-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-13,15-18,25 and 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
	see the attached detailed emoc deticit for a list	or the doraned dopled not receive	<b></b>				
Attachmen		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/511,029

Art Unit: 1793

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-8 and 20-24 in the reply filed on 9/19/07 is acknowledged.

The following is the restriction previously made on 9/6/07:

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8 and 20-24, drawn to a cement +cationic or secondary or tertiary amino-modified cellulose ether (elected now w/o traverse).

Group II, claim(s) 10-13,15,16,25, and 26, drawn to a cellulose ether.

Group III, claim(s) 17-18, drawn to a method controlling curing time of cement+modified cellulose ether.

The inventions listed as Groups I do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 1 is either anticipated or obvious over Shimomura et al. (JP 05213646 abtract) or EP 859011 (De Baynast et al.-applicant supplied reference). Both references teach a composition comprising *cement* and *cationic cellulose ether* thus anticipating applicants' claim 1 (see abstract for each reference). As the recited claimed composition of claim 1 does not make a contribution over the prior art, unity of invention is lacking and restriction is appropriate.

## 35 USC 102/103:

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1793

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 1-8 and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shimomura et al. (JP 05213646 abtract) or EP 859011 (De Baynast et al.-applicant supplied reference).

Both references teach a composition comprising *cement* and *cationic cellulose ether* thus anticipating applicants' claim 1 (see abstract for each reference). As the recited claimed composition of claim 1 does not make a contribution over the prior art, unity of invention is lacking and restriction is appropriate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793